UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS WACO DIVISION

WSOU INVESTMENTS, LLC D/B/A BRAZOS LICENSING AND DEVELOPMENT,

CIVIL ACTION 6:22-cv-00166-ADA

Plaintiff,

v.

CANON INC. AND CANON U.S.A., INC.,

Defendants.

DEFENDANTS' STIPULATION OF INVALIDITY CONTENTIONS

Defendants Canon Inc. and Canon U.S.A., Inc. ("Canon") submit this stipulation of invalidity contentions.

On September 12, 2022, Canon filed petition number IPR2022-01532 with the Patent Trial and Appeal Board requesting *inter partes* review of U.S. Patent No. 7,493,030 (the "'030 Patent"). The Petition asserts the following grounds of invalidity:

IPR Ground	Claims	Grounds of Unpatentability
1	1-9, 11-21, 23-24	Obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Japanese Application Publication No. 2002-318342 to Sugitani ("Sugitani") in view of Japanese Application Publication No. 2001-103366 to Kubo ("Kubo")
2	9, 23	Obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Sugitani in view of Kubo and further in view of Japanese Application Publication No. 2003-121913 to Misawa ("Misawa")
3	10, 25	Obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Sugitani in view of Kubo and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,369,954 to Berge ("Berge")
4	1-5, 7-9, 15-16, 18-24	Obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Japanese Application Publication No. 2003-319239 to Shibata ("Shibata") in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0174234 to Kondo ("Kondo")
5	10, 25	Obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Shibata in view of Kondo and further in view of Berge
6	6, 11-14, 17	Obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Shibata in view of Kondo and further in view of Kubo

Defendants hereby stipulate that if the Patent Trial and Appeal Board institutes IPR on IPR2022-01532, then Defendants will not pursue in this case the specific grounds identified above in connection with the referenced patent(s) and claim(s) as originally issued on the instituted *inter partes* review petition, or on any other ground for a given patent for which the

Board institutes, that was raised or could have been reasonably raised in an IPR (*i.e.*, any ground that could be raised under §§ 102 or 103 on the basis of prior art patents or printed publications).

This stipulation is not intended, and should not be construed, to limit Defendants' ability to assert invalidity of the asserted claims of the '030 Patent in this case on any other ground (*e.g.*, invalidity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 101, 112), regardless of whether *inter partes* review is instituted.

Dated: February 10, 2023 Respectfully Submitted

/s/ Richard F. Martinelli

Richard F. Martinelli (pro hac vice)

rmartinelli@orrick.com

Joseph A. Calvaruso (pro hac vice)

jcalvaruso@orrick.com

Tyler S. Miller (pro hac vice)

tmiller@orrick.com

Gerald E. Porter (pro hac vice)

gporter@orrick.com

ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP

51 West 52nd Street

New York, NY 10019-6142

Tel: (212) 506-5000 Fax: (212) 506-5151

Michael C. Chow (pro hac vice)

mchow@orrick.com

Joseph Chern (pro hac vice)

jchern@orrick.com

ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP

2050 Main Street, Suite 1100

Irvine, CA 92614 Tel: (949) 567-6700 Fax: (949) 567-6710

(- -) - - - - -

/s/ John M. Jackson

John M. Jackson (Texas Bar No. 24002340)

jjackson@jw.com

JACKSON WALKER, LLP

2323 Ross Avenue, Suite 600

Dallas, TX 75201 Tel: (214) 953-6000

Fax: (214) 953-5822

Attorneys for Defendants Canon Inc. and Canon U.S.A., Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that the foregoing document was served or delivered electronically to all counsel of record on the 10th day of February, 2023.

/s/ John M. Jackson

John M. Jackson